Frequently Asked Questions

1. What is NB RAIL?

NB RAIL is the Coordination group of Notified Bodies for Railway products and systems. NB RAIL provides a forum for:

- Sharing experiences and exchanging views on the conformity assessment procedures in order to understand better and apply more consistently the Interoperability Directives;
- Drafting and issuing technical recommendations on matters relating to Railway Conformity Assessment;
- Ensuring consistency with European standardisation work;
- Drawing up reports on technical aspects of the assessment procedures;
- Discussing Commission documents and other information relevant to Railway Interoperability;
- Discussing questions and problems that arise from the practical application of the Interoperability Directives.

2. How many Notified Bodies are currently contributing to NB RAIL?

In January 2008, there were 38 Notified Bodies accredited for Directive 96/48/EC and 35 Notified Bodies accredited for Directive 2001/16/EC spread across 16 European countries contributing to NB RAIL. For further information click here.

3. What are Interoperability Directives?

The latest INTEROPERABILITY DIRECTIVE was adopted by the European Council and the Parliament on 17 June 2008.


4. Aims of the Interoperability Directives?

Interoperability is one of a number of European initiatives designed to promote the single market in the rail sector.

The INTEROPERABILITY DIRECTIVES are aimed at removing (mainly technical) barriers to the supply of equipment and the through-running of trains across Europe.

They provide for common technical standards (TSI's) to be applied across Europe's railways and establish a common European verification and authorisation process for placing new, upgraded and renewed infrastructure or rolling stock into service.

They also provide a process for putting certain rail components (known as interoperability constituents) onto the rail market.

5. How can Regulations help Technical Harmonisation?

The means by which the Regulations help deliver technical harmonisation can be summarised as follows:

- A series of mandatory "Essential Requirements" to which the rail system must comply and laid down in general terms by the INTEROPERABILITY DIRECTIVES
• The trans-European rail system is divided into "subsystems" each of which has to comply with the Essential Requirements, specified for that particular subsystem.

• Common characteristics of subsystems (including interfaces) for which the Essential Requirements must be met are set out in detail in Technical Specifications for Interoperability (TSI's). Compliance with the TSI's is mandatory and where the TSI's specifically mandate European standards, compliance with those standards also becomes mandatory.

• However there is scope to derogate from compliance with the TSI's, or part of them, under certain circumstances.

• In certain cases an existing national technical rule may be applied instead of a TSI to give effect to the Essential Requirements, so long as it has first been notified to the European Commission and to other Member States. This arises where a TSI has yet to be published, where there are gaps in a TSI or where a derogation from a TSI has been made.

• The TSI also define "Interoperability Constituents" related to each subsystem. The Interoperability Constituents are identifiable parts which must meet the Essential Requirements when placed on the market for use within the trans-European rail network.

• Details of how constituents can meet the Essential Requirements in practice are described in European standards.

6. If different applicable TSIs to the same subsystem have DIFFERENT CHAPTER 7 (IMPLEMENTATION), e.g. with different validity periods, which one is valid?

Chapter 7 in each TSI is stand-alone, so the most restrictive validity period is valid.

7. Is it possible to issue an EC-CERTIFICATE if there is no valid TSI? Is it possible to issue an EC-CERTIFICATE if there are open points in a TSI, which require application of national rules? What would be the advantage on interoperability of such a certificate?

An EC-CERTIFICATE cannot be issued against a TSI which does not exist, because the NNTRs and the process to assess compliance to them are notified by the member state.

If there is any TSI for the constituent or subsystem, but it is not for the complete scope or has open points, an EC-CERTIFICATE is issued for the scope of the TSI(s) only, the remaining assessment and certification being in accordance with the notified process against the NNTRs.

The advantage of the EC-CERTIFICATE is that, for the scope of the certificate, no further assessment is required if the product is introduced into another member state.

8. Do the TSIs CR NOI, CR SRT and CR PRM require SEPARATE CERTIFICATES?

These subjects are "aspects" relating to one or more subsystems, so that, although each TSI lists the modules separately, the certification is for the subsystem, such as Rolling Stock. Hence a certificate issued for the Rolling Stock Subsystem can cover all TSIs applicable to that subsystem. The advantage of the certificate is that no further assessment is required of that scope when the product is introduced into another member state.

9. When MODULES SB AND SF are applied on an assessment of a series of vehicles, the Notified Body will have to issue a certificate of conformity for each individual vehicle, and the contracting entity will have to issue an EC declaration of verification for each vehicle. When MODULES SB AND SD are applied, is then one certificate of conformity issued, and one EC declaration of verification?

A single certificate is issued for a fleet of vehicles assessed using the SD MODULE whereas a certificate must be issued for each vehicle assessed using MODULE SF.

Article 40 of Directive 2008/57/EC precis es that: References to the repealed Directives shall be construed as references to these Directives. Conclusion is that the edited certificates according to 96/48/EC and 2001/16/EC are still valid after 19 July 2010 and that there is no need to edit new certificates mentioned 2008/57/EC in order to replace the old one mentioning Directives 96/48/EC and/or 2001/16/EC.

11. Interoperability constituents are defined as indicated in the HS RST TSI (2008/232/EC), §5.1, interoperability constituents described in section 5.3 are constituents, whose technology, design, material, manufacturing and assessment processes are defined and enable their specification and assessment independently of the related subsystem, according to Annex IV of Directive 96/48/EC modified by Directive 2004/50/EC.

The pantograph is defined as an interoperability constituent (see §5.3 of the RST TSI); its specification (see §5.4) is referred to §4.2.8.3.7.

The assessment of the interoperability constituent pantograph includes the requirements of HS RST TSI, §4.2.8.3.7.1: “Requirements on dynamic behaviour and quality of current collection shall be assessed in accordance with the High Speed Energy TSI 2006 clause 4.2.16.2.2.

The High Speed Energy TSI (2008/284/EC) 4.2.16.2.2 states a new design of a pantograph shall be assessed by simulation according to EN 50318:2002. This means that:

The simulations shall be made using at least two different TSI compliant overhead contact lines for the appropriate system, at the design speed of the Pantograph; If the simulation results are acceptable, a site test shall be made using a representative section of one of the overhead contact lines used in the simulation; If all the assessments are passed successfully, the tested pantograph design shall be considered as compliant and can be used on various designs of rolling stock provided that the mean contact force on the rolling stock complies with the requirements of clause 4.2.16.1.

When an approved interoperability constituent pantograph is to be installed on new rolling stock, testing shall be limited to the mean contact force requirements. This point does not meet the interoperability constituent definition indicated above. The dynamic behaviour is a function of the aerodynamic and dynamic effects of the vehicle where the pantograph is mounted, as well as the dynamic characteristics of the overhead line. This means that dynamic behaviour and quality of current collection are characteristics that can be assessed only as part of the subsystem Rolling Stock, not as the interoperability constituent pantograph. It should also be noted that the quality of current collection also depends on the interoperability constituent contact strip (see §5.3 and §4.2.8.3.8 of the RST TSI).

ERA answer dated 04_11_2009:

The pantograph is the component that ensures the current collection from the overhead contact line (OCL).

The quality of the current collection depends on characteristics of the OCL, of the pantograph and of the rolling stock; these 3 elements have a certain dynamic behaviour that has an impact on the final performance.

When a pantograph is designed, a set of characteristics regarding the OCL are taken into account, including the maximum operating speed of the rolling stock (which depends on the OCL and on the rolling stock); in addition, the design allows for the adjustment of the contact forces (static and dynamic), by different means (pressure, springs, deflector...).
A pantograph is not designed for a particular rolling stock, but for a type of OCL and a maximum speed.

The definition of the pantograph as an interoperability constituent (IC) in the HS RST TSI is in line with this principle.

Tests performed for the assessment of the pantograph as IC aim at validating characteristics of the pantograph itself, for OCLs TSI compliant and for a certain maximum speed (area of use of the IC).

The concept of IC allows the designer or manufacturer of the pantograph to get an EC certificate independently of a particular use of the pantograph.

When this pantograph is integrated in a rolling stock, the applicant for this rolling stock has to make the necessary adjustments in order to get a mean contact force in the range specified in the TSI.

12. Is it (according to TSI PRM) mandatory to install nursery facilities in trains, even when there is no toilet on board?

Only when the train is equipped with a universal toilet, it is mandatory to provide nursery facilities. Without a toilet, nursery facilities are not necessary.

13. Is it (according to TSI SRT) still permitted to conceive rolling stock which is not allowed in tunnels?

Both TSI HS RST and TSI CR LOC&PAS (draft) require at least category A for tunnels up to 5 km. But as long TSI CR LOC&PAS is still draft, the TSI SRT allows conventional rolling stock to be conceived for service on tracks without tunnels. Tunnels are in this association only tunnels when they reach a length of 1 km.


No, if they are contained in appropriate ERA TD on ERA website. If they are not contained in this TD, they are an open point.

15. What is the definition of a -small crack- according to TSI WAG 2006/861/EC Ann.J.3?

This must be determined by the NoBo on a case by case basis. The decision must reflect all relevant parameters - welding, material, design, testing, standards, position of crack, crack propagation, maintenance and inspection provisions, etc.; as the NoBo considers relevant.

16. Are cast iron brake blocks allowed to be applied on freight wagons which shall be certified according the TSI WAG 2006/861/EU & 2009/107/EC?

Cast iron brake blocks which are currently on the market produce rough wheel running surfaces. Therefore, with such blocks, the pass-by noise test which is defined in TSI CR NOISE 2011/229/EU will most likely not be passed. Therefore, current designs of cast iron brake blocks are today very unlikely to be acceptable. In case new brake block designs which would not roughen the wheel running surface and which are based on cast iron come to the market and are listed on an ERA TD (Technical Document), such blocks would be acceptable.
17. Can an EC-Certificate for a subsystem be issued for a single TSI?

Since EC-Certificates regard only entire subsystems, they can only be issued for a single TSI when it is the only TSI that applies to the subsystem/project. In all other cases only an ISV (Intermediate Statement of Verification) can be issued.

An EC-Certificate is always issued for a subsystem with reference to the assessed TSI(s). It will depend on the scope of the project, if an EC-Certificate can be issued. In some cases a project includes only one TSI, in other cases a project will include more TSIs. If all relevant clauses of the relevant TSIs have been assessed in all relevant phases positively, then an EC-certificate can be issued. Be aware that an EC-certificate can also be issued in the case of a derogation that has been granted, partial application of TSI for upgrade or renewal, transitional period in TSI or specific cases.

18. Are the technical opinion issued by ERA legally binding? Shall manufactures, institutions and NoBos follow the provisions laid down in such technical opinions, although the TSI concerned contains different indications or technical solutions?

Yes, an ERA Technical Opinions shall be taken into account by all the stakeholders involved in the TSI conformity assessment process. An ERA Technical Opinion provides clarification and/or interpretation of unclear points in the TSIs and becomes applicable as soon as published for common use on the ERA Webpage.

The Interoperability Directive 2008/57/EC, in its "whereas" no.48 states "[...] When errors are discovered, an ad hoc rapid procedure should be set up in such a way that a provisional corrigendum is first agreed in the context of a committee and then published by the Agency. This will allow an earlier use of this corrigendum by all stakeholders, including industry, notified bodies and authorities, pending a formal revision of the TSI by the Commission. In order to avoid confusion with official corrigenda of the Commission, the term Technical Opinion will be used [...]".

In follow up to this, Art 7(2) states "If the TSI needs to be amended because of a minor error and this does not justify an immediate revision, the Commission may recommend that the Technical Opinion is used pending the review of the TSI in accordance with Article 6(1). In that case, the Agency shall publish the Technical Opinion."

19. Do I have to apply a decision (amendment to an existing TSI) established under the ‘omnibus procedure’ (e.g. 2012/462/EU ; 2012/463/EU & 2012/464/EU) even if I still apply the ‘core’ TSI?

Is the application of an Omnibus TSI amendment mandatory when the project has already started?

After the date of applicability stated in each Omnibus, the Omnibus must be considered as an integral part of the amended TSI. On the date (after applicability of the Omnibus) of placing on the market or requesting for APIS, the product has to be conforming to the requirements of the amended TSI (original TSI + Omnibus).

20. In which cases could the modules CA1, CA2 or CH be used for certification of the interoperability constituents wheelsets, wheels, axles, friction elements for wheel tread brakes in accordance to TSI WAG (EU) 321/2013?

The modules CA1, CA2 or CH may be used only in the case of products placed on the market, and therefore developed before the entry into force of the TSI WAG (EU) 321/2013. The assessment of these constituents in accordance with modules CA1, CA2 or CH requires a documented former design review and type examination that meets the requirements of the TSI WAG (EU) 321/2013. The corresponding relevant evidences must be demonstrated by the applicant to the NoBo. This is a general requirement; hence it is not relevant whether the constituent is a mature product widely used.
21. Is it possible to certify only the parts under renewal or upgrade of the ENE subsystem?

In case the subsystem is not covered by an EC certificate of verification:

- the ENE TSI states:
  - Article 2 “Scope”
    “3. The TSI shall not apply to existing infrastructure of the rail system in the European Union, which is already placed in service on all or part of the network of any Member State on 1 January 2015, except when it is subject to renewal or upgrading in accordance with Article 20 of Directive 2008/57/EC and Section 7.3 of the Annex.”
  - Article 20(1) of 2008/57/EC Directive:
    “1. In the event of renewal or upgrading, […] if a new authorisation is needed, the MS shall decide to what extent the TSIs need to be applied to the project […] not later than four months after submission of the complete file by the applicant.
  - Section 7.3 of the Annex.
    7.3. “Application of this TSI to existing lines”
    7.3.1. “Introduction”
    “In case this TSI shall apply to existing lines […]”
    Where Article 20(2) of Directive 2008/57/EC applies, MSs shall decide which requirements of the TSI shall apply, taking into account the implementation plan.

7.3.2. “Upgrading/renewal of the OCL and/or the power supply”

“It is possible to gradually modify all or part of the OCL and/or the power supply system - element by element - over an extended period of time…
However, compliance of the entire subsystem can only be declared when all elements are compliant with the TSI over a complete section of route.
The process of upgrading/renewal should take into consideration the need of maintaining compatibility with the existing energy subsystem and other subsystems…”

From the analysis of the legal background, in particular art. 20 of IOD 2008/57/EC, in the event of renewal or upgrading, if a new authorisation is needed, both in the case the subsystem is not covered by an EC certificate of verification and in the case it is already covered by an EC certificate of verification:

1. the Applicant can apply to the MS (or NSA, if the case) to verify only the requirements affected by the modification.
2. The MS (or NSA, if the case) then shall decide to what extent the TSIs need to be applied to the project.
3. The Applicant shall provide this decision to the NoBo (changing the application for Certification if necessary).
4. The NoBo shall refer to this decision in Section 4 of TF, or in the EC Assessment Report, and in the section Exemptions from Assessment of the certificate.
5. The NoBo shall asses the exact scope defined in this decision.
6. In case this decision is not available, and the Applicant asks in any case for the certification, the NoBo shall clearly state in the same sections listed in the previous point 4 that the EC verification carried out by the NoBo is limited to the assessment of conformity for those requirements of the TSI identified by the Applicant under its responsibility; finally, the MS (or NSA, if the case) shall decide if the requirements and TSIs version identified by the applicant are those that shall be applied.
7. In order to establish the certificate of EC verification, the NoBo is permitted to refer to any relevant issued EC Certificate for those parts of the design that are unchanged by this assessment. NoBo therefore can issue his EC certificate only for those modified parts and the parts affected by the modification of the subsystem which influence the basic parameters.